ANNEX B

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

No.	Subject	Summarized Comment	Response
GENI	ERAL COMMENT	S	
			We thank all the commenters for their support and input. We agree that syndicated mortgages can involve a wide variety of property and loan types and the risks associated with investments in syndicated mortgages may vary as a result. The extent to which an investment in a syndicated mortgage is similar to an investment in the business of the borrower is not necessarily limited to syndicated mortgages sold in connection with property developments. For example, as one commenter suggested, this could be the case for syndicated mortgages on properties with businesses such retirement homes or hotels. In general, the requirements of the prospectus exemptions that are likely to be used to distribute syndicated mortgages, such as the accredited investor exemption or the family, friends and business associates
		Prospectus and Registration	are likely to be used to distribute syndicated mortgages, such as the accredited investor exemption or the family, friends and business associates exemption, are linked to the characteristics of the purchaser, rather than the specific terms of the securities. Accordingly, these exemptions should be
			suitable for the full range of syndicated mortgages that may be distributed.

Similarly, the requirements applicable to registrants involved in the distribution of syndicated mortgages are principles-based and would apply to the distribution of syndicated mortgages in the same way as other securities sold in the exempt market.
The Proposed Amendments would substantially align the requirements applicable to syndicated mortgages with those that apply to the distribution of mortgage investment entities. In addition, although certain local exemptions remain, they will substantially harmonize the treatment of syndicated mortgages under securities legislation across the CSA jurisdictions. 2. Risks of syndicated mortgages and comparisons to other securities of syndicated mortgages and comparisons to other securities of syndicated mortgages. One of these commenters provided certain information in respect of syndicated mortgages it administers. Of the 2,083 syndicated mortgages this commenter funded in 2015, 2016 and 2017: • 80 (3.8%) of the mortgages led to a loss of some principal or interest; • 35 (1.7%) are currently in

No.	Subject	Summarized Comment	Response
		• 3 (<1%) resulted in the lenders foreclosing on the property.	
		One commenter noted that unsecured debt will not have increased disclosure requirements, notwithstanding the commenter's view that syndicated mortgages are less risky than unsecured debt.	We acknowledge this comment, but we have concerns with products sold as low risk on the basis that they are secured by an interest in real property.
3.	Use of offering memorandum exemption	Several commenters expressed the view that the offering memorandum exemption would rarely be used for the distribution of syndicated mortgages due to the fast pace with which such transactions are conducted.	We thank the commenters for their input. We agree that the offering memorandum exemption is likely to be used only where syndicated mortgages are marketed broadly to retail investors. Since these are the circumstances where investor protection concerns are likely to be more prevalent, we introduced additional disclosure requirements that are limited to this exemption.
4.	Reports of exempt distribution	Several commenters expressed concerns about the fees associated with filing reports of exempt distribution and that they may make borrowing more expensive as they would be passed along to the borrower.	We acknowledge the comments regarding costs. However, we do not expect the costs of filing reports of exempt distributions to be significant compared to the costs of registering the security interest or administering a syndicated mortgage, particularly in those jurisdictions that charge a fixed fee for filing reports of exempt distribution.
		One commenter suggested that instead of revising the filing fees, we should extend the time for filing a report of exempt distribution from ten days to one month.	We appreciate the commenter's suggestion. However, revising the report of exempt distribution requirements is outside the scope of this project.

No.	Subject	Summarized Comment	Response
		Several commenters expressed concerns that the borrower is not the most appropriate party to be required to file a report of exempt distribution and some suggested the dealer or lenders could be required to file the report of exempt distribution.	We believe this is addressed through the additional guidance we have provided as to the identity of the issuer of a syndicated mortgage. We also note that the report of exempt distribution requires an issuer to disclose personal information about each investor. Accordingly, we do not think it would be appropriate to require a lender to file the report of exempt distribution as the lender would be required to obtain personal information from the other lenders.
		Two commenters suggested that reports of exempt distribution should not be required if the distribution was made solely to permitted clients. These commenters also noted that there is an exemption from filing reports of exempt distribution for certain distributions of securities to Canadian financial institutions and Schedule III banks but not for distributions to other commercial lenders. One of these commenters speculated that there is substantial non-compliance in jurisdictions that currently do not provide a prospectus exemption for syndicated mortgages and suggested the mortgage exemption should be available for syndicated mortgages distributed to permitted clients (as defined in section 1.1 of NI 31-103).	Alberta is proposing to introduce a prospectus exemption for the distribution of syndicated mortgages to permitted clients similar to the prospectus exemption for distributions of syndicated mortgages to "institutional investors" in BCI 45-501. This exemption will not require the filing of a report of exempt distribution. The other jurisdictions are not proposing similar exemptions because they have previously considered similar comments during amendments to the report of exempt distribution and still do not favour the change because they continue to believe that the information collected in the report is necessary to inform compliance programs, improve understanding of the syndicated mortgages market and inform future policy development.

No.	Subject	Summarized Comment	Response
		One commenter suggested that reports of exempt distribution should be confidential as the fees and commissions paid to mortgage brokers may be regarded as sensitive competitive information that is not today publicly disclosed.	We thank the commenter for the feedback, but we disagree and believe transparency with respect to fees and commissions is important. Market participants can apply to the securities regulatory authorities for confidential treatment of certain records if the record contains personal or sensitive business information that would be detrimental to a person if it was disclosed to the public.
		One commenter expressed that it could be ruinous to be required to report the names of its investors as they could be poached by a competitor.	We acknowledge the commenter's concern but note that the names of investors participating in the distribution appear only in Schedule 1 to the report of exempt distribution, which is not made publicly available as it includes investors' personal information.
5.	Definition of syndicated mortgage	Several commenters raised potential issues with the definition of syndicated mortgages.	We acknowledge these comments but note that the current definition of syndicated mortgage is already used in NI 45-106 and NI 31-103 by several CSA jurisdictions to exclude these products from the Mortgage Exemptions. We are not aware of any significant problems caused by the definition in those jurisdictions. One purpose of this project is to increase harmonization in the area. Accordingly, we are not proposing changes to the definition of syndicated mortgage.
		Some commenters suggested that the definition of syndicated mortgage may be too narrow in that it would not capture non-	We acknowledge that there is a wide variety of securities that may be secured by real property. This project is not intended to

No.	Subject	Summarized Comment	Response
		mortgage debt securities secured by real property.	apply to all investments in real estate.
		One commenter noted that most syndicated mortgage failures involved hundreds of lenders so the definition of syndicated mortgage should be revised to a mortgage in which 10 or more lenders participate.	Please refer to commentary under "Exemption for small number of investors proposed in question 7 of March 2018 Proposal" (row 41) for commentary relating to exemptions for syndicated mortgages with a small number of investors.
		Some commenters suggested that the definition of syndicated mortgage was so broad that it would also capture mortgage investment entities.	We do not agree that all securities offered by mortgage investment entities would be captured by this definition. For example, the distribution of an equity investment in a mortgage investment entity is currently subject to both the prospectus and registration requirements and would not be affected by the Proposed Amendments.
		One commenter suggested that the definition of syndicated mortgage was so broad it would capture mortgage-backed securities and sales of mortgages into the CMHC NBA MBS Program.	Similarly, where a distribution of asset-backed securities linked to mortgages, such as pass-through certificates, pay-through certificates or other investments in securitization vehicles, involves the distribution of securities, we do not believe those securities would generally fall within the definition of a syndicated mortgage.
		One commenter suggested that two persons in a legally recognized spousal relationship should be treated as one person on a mortgage.	We acknowledge this comment and that the definition of syndicated mortgage may capture a mortgage where two persons in a spousal relationship are lenders. The definition of syndicated mortgage is an existing definition in NI 45-106

No.	Subject	Summarized Comment	Response
			and NI 31-103 and we are not proposing to make any changes at this time.
6.	Syndicated mortgage versus syndicated equity	Several commenters suggested that the Proposed Amendments should not capture all syndicated mortgages but only those that have a loan-to-value in excess of a threshold, such as 80% or 85%, which several commenters referred to as syndicated equity.	We thank the commenters for their input. Although we agree that the loan-to-value ratio is important, it is only one indicator of the risk of a syndicated mortgage. As a result, we do not propose to use this as the sole basis for determining the securities law requirements that should apply to the distribution of syndicated mortgages.
7.	Risk acknowledgement forms	One commenter suggested that the CSA review the efficacy of the existing risk acknowledgement forms.	Consideration of the risk acknowledgment requirements that apply to certain prospectus exemptions is outside the scope of this project.
8.	Who is the issuer?	Several commenters suggested that commonly in syndicated mortgages the borrower is not the issuer. These commenters stressed the difference between a mortgage that is syndicated at the time of the initial loan (i.e., a shared mortgage or a mortgage with a colending syndicate) versus a mortgage with one initial lender who then, potentially unknown to the borrower, syndicates the mortgage to other investors.	We thank the commenters for their input. We agree that additional guidance regarding the appropriate identity of the issuer or issuers of a syndicated mortgage is required. As suggested we have clarified in 45-106CP that, where an existing mortgage is syndicated, the party undertaking the syndication will generally be an issuer of the syndicated mortgage. In some cases, the issuer may be a mortgage broker that is syndicating the loan. Alternatively, if the entity used for the syndication is established by a mortgage broker, the mortgage broker may be a promoter of the issuer. We have also provided additional guidance regarding the use of the offering memorandum exemption to distribute syndicated mortgages

No.	Subject	Summarized Comment	Response
			and the fact that the exemption is only available for the distribution by an issuer of a security of its own issue. Accordingly, where a mortgage that has already been advanced or committed is being syndicated, the exemption would only be available where the party syndicating the mortgage is the issuer.
9.	Public database of syndicated mortgages	One commenter suggested that there should be a public database of syndicated mortgages to facilitate comparison across types of properties, issuers, brokers, regions, credit, <i>etc</i> .	We thank the commenter for this suggestion. Requiring detailed reporting regarding the terms of securities issued in the exempt market is beyond the current report of exempt distribution and would impose a significant burden on issuers. In addition, several regulatory and systems changes would be required that are beyond the scope of the Proposed Amendments.
10.	Currently exempt professionals	Several commenters indicated that chartered bank representatives, lawyers and other professionals currently exempt under mortgage legislation should no longer be exempt in order to level the playing field.	Under securities laws, there is a business trigger for registration. Section 1.3 of 31-103CP contains guidance related to the business trigger for registration in the context of certain professional services. In addition, there are registration exemptions that could potentially apply to a person or company involved in the distribution of syndicated mortgages. However, these do not necessarily correspond to the exemptions under mortgage legislation and may differ depending on the jurisdictions involved.
11.	Statutory rights of action	One commenter expressed that purchasers in all jurisdictions should have a statutory right of	We thank the commenter for their input but changes to the statutory rights of action are

No.	Subject	Summarized Comment	Response
		action against issuers, promoters and mortgage brokers in the event that an offering memorandum contains a misrepresentation.	beyond the scope of the Proposed Amendments. In the event of a misrepresentation in an offering memorandum, local securities legislation provides for rights of action against the issuer and, depending on the jurisdiction, certain other parties.
12.	Compliance reviews	One commenter noted that the CSA will need to allocate resources to review offering memoranda and exempt market dealers in order to improve compliance and deter fraudulent activity.	For those jurisdictions that already exclude syndicated mortgages from the Mortgage Exemptions, our compliance programs will continue to review offering memoranda and registrants. For those jurisdictions that are amending the Mortgage Exemptions to exclude syndicated mortgages, we expect that the distribution of syndicated mortgages will be an area of focus following the implementation of the Proposed Amendments. Information provided through reports of exempt distribution will be particularly important to monitoring this area.
13.	Fee disclosure	One commenter noted that there needs to be clear disclosure about fees that lenders receive from borrowers on closing and how those fees are distributed back to investors or otherwise allocated.	Item 18 of proposed Form 45-106F18 requires disclosure of the fees that are to be charged to the borrower, how they are to be calculated and paid and when any person involved in the distribution is entitled to payment or states that the investor may request a copy of the disclosure statement provided by the mortgage broker to the borrower concerning all fees. Item 7 of Form 45-106F2 requires disclosure of compensation paid to sellers and finders.

No.	Subject	Summarized Comment	Response
			Any registered dealer involved in the sale of syndicated mortgages would be subject to the obligation to disclose fees to its clients in connection with its relationship disclosure information and ongoing reporting obligations.
14.	Decreased diversification	Three commenters suggested that the March 2018 Proposal may have the unintended consequence of decreased diversification for investors because there will be fewer syndicated mortgages in which they can invest, or they will be required to make larger investments in a syndicated mortgage.	We expect a registered dealer's suitability assessment to consider an investor's concentration in any investment, including a syndicated mortgage. Accordingly, concerns regarding diversification should be addressed in the ordinary course by the involvement of a registered dealer.
GENI		TION COMMENTS	
15.	Existing registration exemptions	One commenter suggested that all mortgage brokers involved in the business of distributing syndicated mortgages should be required to be registered as a dealer without exception.	Any mortgage broker in the business of trading securities will be required to register as a dealer or rely upon an available registration exemption. We note that there are existing registration exemptions upon which some mortgage brokers may be able to rely. For example, section 8.5 of NI 31-103 provides a dealer registration exemption for trades under certain conditions that are made through a registered dealer.
16.	Cost of using registered dealer	Several commenters expressed concerns that the requirement to use a registered dealer will significantly increase the cost of lending and create unnecessary complexities and that the required due diligence and suitability assessments are not feasible given the typically short transaction times for syndicated mortgages.	Certain jurisdictions already exclude syndicated mortgages from the Mortgage Exemptions. The registration requirement and the category of exempt market dealer seek to require any entity that is in the business of trading securities in the exempt market to possess the required level of proficiency, integrity and

No.	Subject	Summarized Comment	Response
			solvency to participate in the
			market. Investors in other forms
			of real estate and mortgage
			investments, such as mortgage
			investment entities, currently
			benefit from the protections of
			the registration requirement. For
			those jurisdictions amending the
			Mortgage Exemptions to exclude
			syndicated mortgages, the
			Proposed Amendments would
			result in the same level of
			protection for syndicated
			mortgage investments as these
			other types of securities.
			Mortgage brokers that are
			currently relying on the
			Mortgage Exemptions to trade
			syndicated mortgages in
			Newfoundland and Labrador, the
			Northwest Territories, Nova
			Scotia, Nunavut, Ontario, Prince
			Edward Island and Yukon will
			be required to seek registration
			or rely on an alternative
			registration exemption if their
			activities meet the business
			trigger for dealer registration.
			We acknowledge that this will
			involve costs. However, as for
			other forms of mortgage
			investments, we consider that
			such costs are justified by the
			benefits to investors and the
			market generally.
17.	New registration	One commenter suggested that a	We thank the commenter for
	category	new category of registration	their input, but we believe the
		should be created, and the	existing categories of dealer
		requirements should be the same	registration are appropriate. Any
		as those currently applied to	entity seeking registration as an
		mortgage brokers.	exempt market dealer may seek
			exemptions from specific
			requirements of securities
			legislation that are not

No.	Subject	Summarized Comment	Response
			compatible with their business model. Accordingly, dealers that are prepared to accept terms and conditions that limit their activities to syndicated mortgages may seek relief from requirements that could be more applicable to exempt market dealers offering securities generally.
18.	Relevant securities industry experience	Several commenters asked for guidance as to what we would consider to be relevant securities industry experience if a mortgage broker were to apply for registration as a dealing representative or chief compliance officer of an exempt market dealer.	For firms and individuals that apply for registration to trade in syndicated mortgages, we will consider relevant securities industry experience to include relevant experience acquired at a licensed mortgage broker, brokerage, agency or dealer, provided the applicant demonstrates the proficiency, integrity and solvency for registration. Applicants that rely on mortgage-specific experience should expect regulators to place terms and conditions restricting their trading activities to a specified class of securities (<i>e.g.</i> , syndicated mortgages or securities of real estate issuers). We propose to include clarifying language in 31-103CP as part of
19.	Know-your- product obligations	One commenter expressed that they consider it would be part of a dealer's know-your-product obligations to ensure there has been a recent and reliable property appraisal for a syndicated mortgage distribution under any exemption.	the Proposed Changes. We thank the commenter for their input. We agree that taking reasonable steps to verify the loan-to-value ratio of a syndicated mortgage would be important for a registrant to discharge its know-your-product obligation.
20.	Restricted dealer registration	One commenter suggested that existing mortgage brokers should be registered as dealers but be	We thank the commenter for their input. If applicant firms demonstrate limited proficiency or experience beyond syndicated

No.	Subject	Summarized Comment	Response
		permitted to engage solely in trading syndicated mortgages.	mortgages, we expect terms and conditions will be placed to restrict trading activities to a specified class of securities (<i>e.g.</i> , syndicated mortgages or securities of real estate issuers).
21.	Transition period	One commenter suggested that the proposed 12-month registration transition period was not sufficient, and it should instead be 24 months.	In Newfoundland and Labrador, the Northwest Territories, Nova Scotia, Nunavut, Ontario, Prince Edward Island and Yukon the registration requirement is now proposed to come into effect on December 31, 2019. These jurisdictions are of the view that this period provides an adequate amount of time for transition. The exclusion for syndicated mortgages already exists in the other CSA jurisdictions and registration is already required, subject to any available exemptions.
22.	Different roles of registered dealer and mortgage broker	One commenter expressed that a registered dealer could not replace the current role of a mortgage broker, which may include underwriting the mortgage, drafting the mortgage commitment, ensuring the mortgage commitment conditions have been satisfied, ensuring the mortgage is registered before authorizing the release of investor funds, and inspecting development sites.	The requirement to be licensed as a mortgage broker, brokerage or agency to deal in or trade in mortgages under local legislation is not affected by the Proposed Amendments. Accordingly, in some jurisdictions both a licensed mortgage broker, brokerage or agency and a registered dealer may be required.
		Several commenters suggested that we appear to expect both mortgage broker and registered dealer to be involved in distributions of syndicated mortgages, but it is not clear how this would work, and it would not be economically feasible given the	Many jurisdictions require mortgage investments entities, such as mortgage investment corporations, to offer their securities through a registrant. Such entities are generally also required to be licensed as a

No.	Subject	Summarized Comment	Response
		typical fees charged by brokers and dealers.	mortgage broker, brokerage or agency.
			We understand that it is not unusual for mortgage professionals involved with
			mortgage investment entities to maintain dual registration.
		One commenter stated that it had consulted with its clients and they all confirmed their preference to work with mortgage brokers for	As discussed above, the need for the involvement of a mortgage broker, brokerage or agency will not be affected by the Proposed
		these transactions.	Amendments.
OFFE	ERING MEMORAN	NDUM EXEMPTION – PROPERT	Y APPRAISALS
23.	Date of appraisal	Several commenters expressed that an appraisal should be required to be within 6 months before the date of an offering memorandum, instead of the proposed 12 months.	We thank the commenters for their input and have revised the requirement so that an appraisal must provide a value of the property as at a date that is within 6 months preceding the date that the appraisal is delivered to the purchaser.
24.	Methodology	Three commenters expressed that the type of appraisal methodology applied, and limitations of the methodology, should be disclosed to investors in plain language.	We have revised Item 8 of proposed Form 45-106F18 to include that the issuer must describe the type of appraisal, methodology applied and limitations of the methodology.
25.	Arm's length transaction	Several commenters stated that an appraisal should be required regardless of whether the property was acquired in an arm's length transaction as this would not guarantee the amount paid was reasonable or the fair market value.	We thank the commenters for their input. We are not proposing to provide an exemption from the appraisal requirement under the offering memorandum exemption for properties acquired in an arm's length transaction.
		One commenter stated that an appraisal should not be required if the property was recently acquired in an open market transaction with all parties acting at arm's length.	
26.	Professional liability insurance	One commenter suggested that an appraiser should be required to	We acknowledge the commenter's concern. However,

No.	Subject	Summarized Comment	Response
		have professional liability insurance appropriate to the valuation assignment.	we are not proposing to prescribe standards for insurance for professional appraisers. To be a qualified appraiser, an appraiser must be a member in good standing of a professional association. We note that some professional associations, including the Appraisal Institute of Canada, have mandatory insurance programs for their members.
27.	Waiver of requirement for appraisal	Two commenters suggested that an investor could certify that they consider themselves an expert or professional and agree in writing to waive the requirement for an appraisal.	We thank the commenter for this suggestion. However, we do not think that it would be appropriate to provide for this type of waiver. Appraisals are required only for distributions under the offering memorandum exemption, which is designed for distributions to retail investors. Syndicated mortgages offered under other exemptions, such as the accredited investor exemption, will not require an appraisal. However, an appraisal may be provided for such distributions to respond to concerns of investors or dealers participating in the transaction.
28.	Form of appraisal	Three commenters suggested than an appraisal should be addressed to the investors or a letter of reliance should be provided from the appraiser to the investors.	We thank the commenters for this suggestion. However, we believe an obligation to deliver an appraisal to a purchaser is sufficient.
29.	Appraiser's independence	One commenter suggested that for an appraiser to be independent it should be restricted in terms of the volume of business it receives from an issuer, issuer group or mortgage broker.	Proposed subsection 2.9(19) of NI 45-106 provides an objective test for the independence of an appraiser. Any circumstance that, in the opinion of a reasonable person aware of all the relevant facts, could interfere with the qualified appraiser's judgment regarding the

	Subject	Summarized Comment	Response
30.	Requirement for appraisal for syndicated mortgages distributed under other exemptions	One commenter suggested that an appraisal should be a requirement for distributions under any exemption not just the offering memorandum exemption. Another commenter suggested that an appraisal should only be required for distributions under the offering memorandum exemption as distributions under other exemptions are dependent upon the ability to structure the transaction quickly.	preparation of an appraisal for a property, would result in the appraiser not being independent. We agree with the commenter that the amount of business that an appraiser does with an issuer or related issuers could result in the appraiser not being independent. For example, the guidance in proposed paragraph 3.8(13)(h) of 45-106CP indicates that we would consider an appraiser not to be independent if the appraiser has received a majority of their income, either directly or indirectly, in the three years preceding the date of the appraisal from the issuer or a related party of the issuer. We thank the commenters for their input. Although we have not added a requirement to provide investors with an appraisal for any exemptions other than the offering memorandum exemption, as noted above, a dealer's knowyour-product obligations would likely require it to take reasonable steps to ascertain the loan-to-value ratio of a syndicated mortgage. In addition, we understand that sophisticated investors may demand adequate evidence of
OFFE	IDING MEMODAN	IDIIM EWEMPEION FORM 45	value of a property.
31.	Item 8 -	NDUM EXEMPTION – FORM 45- One commenter suggested that we	We thank the commenter for
31.	Appraisal	should repeat the requirement to deliver the appraisal to investors in Item 8 of Form 45-106F18.	their suggestion. Item 8 of Form 45-106F18 is meant to provide investors with a description of the appraisal. This does not alter the requirement that the issuer also deliver a copy of the entire appraisal to the investor under

No.	Subject	Summarized Comment	Response
			subsection 2.9(19.1) of NI 45- 106.
32.	Item 19 – Registration Documentation	One commenter suggested that we should add the appraisal to the list of documents in Item 19 of Form 45-106F18.	We thank the commenter for their suggestions. Item 19 of Form 45-106F18 provides a list of documents that the investor may request from the issuer after the completion of registration and disbursement of the syndicated mortgage. The issuer is required to deliver a copy of the appraisal to the investor at the same time or before the issuer delivers the offering memorandum to the investor.
33.	Alternative property values	Two commenters noted that an issuer would still be permitted to disclose any value for a property if they could demonstrate a reasonable basis for the value and they disclosed the material factors and assumptions used in arriving at the value and whether it was prepared by an independent, qualified appraiser. One commenter suggested that we should prohibit the disclosure of a projected future value of the property or the expected market value upon completion of the development of a property regardless of whether such value was prepared by an independent,	We believe that a projected future value may be relevant information for investors and the appropriate approach is to allow disclosure of such values while requiring disclosure of the factors and assumptions used in arriving at the value, together with the prominent disclosure of the appraised value.
34.	Marketing materials	qualified appraiser. One commenter suggested that any marketing, promotion or advertising material should be incorporated by reference into the offering memorandum.	We thank the commenter for their input but note that certain jurisdictions already require OM marketing materials to be incorporated by reference into an offering memorandum and filed with the regulator.
35.	Additional disclosure for	One commenter suggested that the proposed additional disclosure under the offering memorandum	We do not currently prescribe disclosure for other exemptions, such as the accredited investor

No.	Subject	Summarized Comment	Response
	other prospectus exemptions	should be a requirement for any distribution of syndicated mortgages regardless of which prospectus exemption is relied upon.	and family, friends and business associates exemptions. Introducing such disclosure would be a significant change that is beyond the scope of the Proposed Amendments.
36.	Mortgage broker certificate	Two commenters indicated that the mortgage broker certificate is an important safeguard for investors and suggested the CSA issue guidance as to the extent of the broker's due diligence obligations. Another commenter supported requiring the mortgage broker to sign the OM certificate and provide additional disclosure in the offering memorandum. This commenter could not think of a circumstance where it would not be appropriate to require this in connection with the offering memorandum exemption. Several commenters suggested the mortgage broker certificate may be costly in terms of the due diligence required by the broker and may not add any value or may be of little utility for investors. Some of these commenters suggested a certification in respect of matters within, or that ought to be within, the broker's knowledge may suffice. Two commenters suggested a mortgage broker certificate should not be required unless the broker is the issuer or syndicator.	We thank the commenters for their feedback. We have removed the mortgage broker certificate requirement. If a mortgage broker is actively involved in mortgage syndication, we expect that the mortgage broker will be required to certify the offering memorandum as the issuer of the syndicated mortgage. In these circumstances, a separate mortgage broker certificate would be redundant.
		One commenter suggested a mortgage broker certificate may provide a false sense of security to investors and that the lack of oversight of brokers would need	We acknowledge the commenter's concern. However, we note that mortgage brokers are subject to oversight under mortgage legislation.

No.	Subject	Summarized Comment	Response
		to be addressed if the certificate is	
		to be a requirement.	
PRIV	ATE ISSUER EXE	MPTION	
37.	Private issuer exemption should not be available	Two commenters supported our proposal that the private issuer exemption not be available for distributions of syndicated mortgages.	We thank the commenters for their support.
38.	Private issuer exemption should be available	Several commenters expressed that the private issuer exemption should remain available for distributions of syndicated mortgages. Two commenters suggested the exemption could remain available but with the requirement to file a report of exempt distribution. Other commenters suggested there were ways the CSA could require reporting of distributions under the private issuer exemption other than the requirement to file a report of exempt distribution. One commenter suggested the private issuer exemption could remain available but be limited to distributions to directors, officers or employees of the issuer. One commenter suggested the private issuer exemption would be appropriate for distributions of syndicated mortgages where the property is used by the mortgagor for residential or business	We thank the commenters for their input, but we believe it is necessary for securities regulators to have a better understanding of this market by requiring issuers of syndicated mortgages to report distributions. Issuers will continue to be able to distribute syndicated mortgages to the same group of investors using the accredited investor or family, friends and business associates exemptions.
ATTI	 	purposes. PECTUS AND REGISTRATION E	YEMPTIONS
39.	No additional	Two commenters were of the view	We thank the commenters for
39.	exemptions needed	that no additional prospectus exemptions were required.	their input. Ontario, New Brunswick, Nova Scotia, and Newfoundland and Labrador propose to introduce prospectus

No.	Subject	Summarized Comment	Response
No.	Subject	Two other commenters were of the view that, if the private issuer exemption remains available, no additional prospectus exemptions would be required. Two other commenters were of the view that creating exemptions based on classes of syndicated mortgages would be difficult and it may create confusion and uncertainty among retail investors and result in less disclosure.	and dealer registration exemptions for the distribution of qualified syndicated mortgages by licensed mortgage brokerages, similar to the exemptions that exist in British Columbia. Alberta and Québec propose to introduce a prospectus exemption for this instance. Qualified syndicated mortgages are less likely to give rise to the same investor protection issues as other syndicated mortgages, which may have more equity-like characteristics. Please refer to Annex G in each of the above jurisdictions for the details of the above exemptions. We do not propose to introduce additional exemptions based on the attributes of the syndicated mortgage at this time. However, we will monitor activity and may consider additional exemptions in the future. In addition, we note that market participants may seek discretionary exemptive relief to offer certain types of securities if there is a sufficient basis to determine that it would not be contrary to the public interest to grant such
40.	Existing local	Several commenters expressed the	relief. As discussed above, certain
	British Columbia exemptions	view that the current regime in British Columbia should remain in place and the exemptions in BCI 45-501 and British Columbia Instrument 32-517 Exemption from Dealer Registration Requirement for Trades in Securities of Mortgage Investment Entities (BCI 32-517) should be	jurisdictions propose to adopt exemptions similar to the existing exemptions for qualified syndicated mortgages in BCI 45-501.

No.	Subject	Summarized Comment	Response
		made permanent and adopted across the CSA.	
		Several commenters suggested that BCI 45-501 should be adopted with modifications including: • expanding the definition of institutional investor to include accredited investors and those that would be able to invest under the family, friends and business associates exemption; • expanding the definition of qualified syndicated mortgage by removing conditions (c) and (d)¹; or • limiting the exemptions to mortgages on residential or commercial property with loans-to-value of 80% or less of the appraised value or purchase price.	We do not agree that all accredited investors should be treated as institutional investors. Syndicated mortgages may be sold under the accredited investor prospectus exemption or the family, friends and business associates prospectus exemption. However, subject to any available exemptions, the registration requirement may apply to parties involved in such distributions.
		One commenter was of the view that the existing form of offering memorandum for syndicated mortgages in British Columbia, Form 45-901F, provides sufficient disclosure and was preferable to the Form 45-106F2 supplemented by the Form 45-106F18.	The requirements of proposed Form 45-106F18 are based on British Columbia Form 45-901F and the level of disclosure is intended to be comparable.
		Three commenters were of the view that BCI 45-501 should not be adopted due to the complexity of having two different regulatory regimes and investor protection concerns given that mortgage brokers may not have the same	We acknowledge the feedback and have decided not to introduce these exemptions on a national basis at this time. As discussed above, certain jurisdictions are proposing to adopt exemptions similar to the exemptions for qualified

¹ Conditions (c) and (d) of the existing definition of "qualified syndicated mortgage" under BCI 45-501 are the following: (c) the syndicated mortgage secures a debt obligation on property used solely for residential purposes and containing no more than four residential dwelling units, and (d) the syndicated mortgage does not secure a debt obligation incurred for the construction or development of property.

No.	Subject	Summarized Comment	Response
		know-your-client and suitability obligations as registered dealers.	syndicated mortgages in BCI 45-501. However, these exemptions are being adopted on a local basis because of differences in local mortgage legislation and regulation.
		One commenter was of the view that BCI 32-517 should be repealed.	BCI 32-517 expired on February 15, 2019.
41.	Exemption for small number of investors proposed in question 7 March 2018 Proposal	Three commenters were opposed to introducing an exemption for a small number of investors because in their view an exemption should be based on risk factors and the number of investors does not necessarily make a syndicated mortgage more or less risky or there would be more room for misrepresentation under such an exemption. Several commenters were supportive of the proposed	We thank the commenters for their input. We are not proposing an exemption based on the number of lenders at this time.
		exemption and one suggested the appropriate numbers of lenders would be ten or less.	
		One commenter was supportive of the proposed exemption but thought it should not be limited to the mortgagor being an individual and there may need to be restrictions around the nature of the business to exclude land development or speculative land holding businesses.	
		One commenter was of the view that the proposed exemption would be reasonable if there was sufficient disclosure on the use of premises and financial statements of the operating business.	

No.	Subject	Summarized Comment	Response
42.	Suggestions for	Several commenters suggested	We thank the commenters for
	new exemptions	various new exemptions,	their suggestions. As discussed
		including exemptions for each of	above, certain jurisdictions are
		the following scenarios:	proposing exemptions for
		• Investors are exclusively	qualified syndicated mortgages,
		accredited investors and the	similar to the existing British
		mortgage is not provided to a	Columbia exemptions.
		developer for purposes of land	
		servicing, land development,	We also note that there is an
		the development or	existing prospectus exemption
		construction of more than one	for distributions to accredited
		residence or the development	investors, which will remain
		or construction of one or more	available for distributions of
		commercial or industrial	syndicated mortgages.
		buildings or properties for	
		resale to other persons after the	
		completion of the development	
		or construction.	
		• Mortgages with loans-to-value	
		of less than 85%, based on a	
		third-party appraisal, and that	
		are in first or second position.	
		• Investors are exclusively highnet-worth individuals.	
		Investors are exclusively	
		institutional investors.	
		• The issuer acts as the lead	
		investor and has its own capital	
		at risk alongside the investors.	
43.	Further research	One commenter suggested that the	We acknowledge the comment
		CSA should further study the	and will continue to monitor the
		primary and secondary markets	distribution of syndicated
		for syndicated mortgages to	mortgages following the
		determine which exemptions are	adoption of the Proposed
		warranted.	Amendments.